Agenda • Day 1

- Introduction: What is an ontology and what is it useful for?
- Basic Formal Ontology: An upper-level ontology to support scientific research
- Open Biomedical Ontologies (OBO) and the Web Ontology Language (OWL)
- The OBO Relation Ontology

Ontology

the science of the kinds and structures of objects, properties, events, processes and relations in every domain of reality

World's first ontology

(from Porphyry's *Commentary* on Aristotle's *Categories*)



Linnaean Ontology



84

Contemporary top-level ontologies

- DOLCE = Domain Ontology for Linguistic and Cognitive Engineering
- SUMO = Suggested Upper Merged Ontology
- BFO = Basic Formal Ontology

Each of these ontologies

- is not just a system of categories
- but a formal theory
- with definitions, axioms, theorems
- designed to provide the resources for reference ontologies built to represent the entities in specific domains
- of sufficient richness that terminological incompatibilities can be resolved intelligently rather than by brute force

BFO is a very small ontology to support integration of scientific research data

SUMO contains many portions which are more properly conceived of as domain ontologies (airports, bacteria, ...)

DOLCE is tilted towards objects of general thought and communication (fiction, mythology, ...)

Basic Formal Ontology

- a true upper level ontology
- no interference with domain ontologies
- no interference with issues of cognition
- no negative entities
- no putative fictions
- a small subset of DOLCE but with more adequate treatment of instances, universals, relations and qualities
 http://www.ifomis.org/bfo/

Groups and Organizations using BFO

AstraZeneca - Clinical Information Science BioPAX-OBO BIRN Ontology Task Force (BIRN OTF) Computer Task Group Inc. Duke University Laboratory of Computational Immunology **Dumontier Lab INRIA** Lorraine Research Unit Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine Language and Computing National Center for Multi-Source Information Fusion **Ontology Works Science Commons - Neurocommons** University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Ontologies using BFO

BioTop: A Biomedical Top-Domain Ontology Common Anatomy Reference Ontology (CARO) Foundational Model of Anatomy (FMA) Gene Ontology (GO) Infectious Disease Ontology Ontology for Biomedical Investigations (OBI Ontology for Clinical Investigations (OCI) Phenotypic Quality Ontology (PaTO) Protein Ontology (PRO) RNA Ontology (RnaO) Senselab Ontology Sequence Ontology (SO) Subcellular Anatomy Ontology (SAO) Vaccine Ontology (VO)

Realist Perspectivalism: The philosophical basis of BFO

There is a multiplicity of ontological perspectives on reality, all equally veridical i.e. transparent to reality

Ontologies are windows on reality

The Time Problem

The tumor developed in John's lung over 25 years

developed in _____ over 25 years



The tumor developed in the lung over 25 years

substances things

objects

continuants

The tumor developed in the lung over 25 years

what is it that participates in this process of tumor development? parthood here not determinate



gluing these two types of entities together yields ontological monsters

In preparing an inventory of reality we keep track of these two different kinds of entities in two different ways

BFO: the very top

Occurrent (always dependent on one or more independent continuants)

An alternative approach: Fourdimensionalism

- only processes (occurrents) exist
- time is just another dimension, analogous to the three spatial dimensions
- substances are analyzed away as worms/fibers within the four-dimensional plenum
- fourdimensionalism brings benefits
 especially for computational purposes

There are no substances

Bill Clinton does not exist Rather: there exists within the fourdimensional plenum a continuous succession of processes which are similar in a Billclintonizing way

Fourdimensionalism ("everything is flow") is right in everything it says

But it is incomplete

Realist Perspectivalism

There is a multiplicity of ontological perspectives on reality, all equally veridical = transparent to reality

Fourdimensionalism is one veridical perspective among others

Cf. particle vs. wave ontologies used in quantum mechanics

Snapshot ontology

Video ontology

Continuants and Occurrents 103

Two Orthogonal, Complementary Perspectives

> stocks and flows commodities and services product and process

anatomy and physiology

Continuant entities

- have continuous existence in time
- preserve their identity through change
- exist in toto if they exist at all

Occurrent entities

- have temporal parts
- unfold themselves phase by phase
- exist only in their phases/stages

You are a substance

Your *life* is a process

You are 3-dimensional Your *life* is 4-dimensional

Many SNAPshot Ontologies

mereology works without restriction (parthood is everywhere determinate) in every SNAP ontology

Note that, while, the *views* are instantaneous, the *objects viewed* endure

Three kinds of continuant entities

- 1. Substances (Independent)
- 2. SNAP Dependent Entities
- 3. Spatial regions, contexts, niches, environments, settings

Dependent continuants:

one-place:

your temperature, color, height my knowledge of French the whiteness of this cheese

relational dependent continuants

stand in relations of one-sided dependence to a plurality of substances simultaneously

Dependent continuants

Functions, qualities, roles ... dispositions, plans, shapes, diseases ...

The world of processes

Occurrents

here time exists as part of the domain of the ontology

mereology works without restriction everywhere here and boundaries are mostly fiat

Processes, too, are dependent on substances

One-place vs. relational processes

One-place processes: your getting warmer your getting hungrier

Examples of relational processes

kissings, thumpings, conversings, dancings, ... join their carriers together into *collectives* of greater or lesser duration

Two kinds of occurrent entities

- Processes (including events, beginnings, endings = process-boundaries)
- 2. Spatio-temporal regions

How do you know whether an entity is a continuant or an occurrent?

problem cases

forest fire the Olympic flame epidemic hurricane traffic jam ocean wave

forest fire

a process

a pack of monkeys jumping from tree to tree and eating up the trees as they go (anthrax spores are little monkeys)

The Epidemic (Continuant)

The Spread of an Epidemic (Occurrent)

Three dichotomies

- instance vs. universal
- continuant vs. occurrent
- dependent vs. independent
- universals exist in reality through their instances

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

124

BFO

all terms included in the ontology are intended to designate universals in reality

in conformity with the basic principle of science-based ontology

science-based ontologies are windows on reality

An example of a quality

- The particular redness of the left eye of a single individual fly
 - An *instance* of a quality universal
- The color 'red'
 - A quality universal
- Note: the eye does not instantiate 'red'
- PATO represents **quality universals:** color, temperature, texture, shape ...

Qualities are dependent entities

- Qualities require (depend on) bearers, which are independent continuants
 Example:
 - A shape requires a physical object as its bearer
 - If the physical object ceases to exist (e.g. it decomposes), then the shape ceases to exist

What a quality is NOT

- Qualities are not measurements
 - Instances of qualities exist independently of their measurements
 - Qualities can have zero or more measurements
- These are not the names of qualities:
 - percentage
 - process
 - abnormal
 - high
- Open problem: how relate qualities such as length to measurement values?

