# A Brief Overview of Ontology Evaluation

### F. Neuhaus<sup>1,2</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>National Institute for Standards and Technology <sup>2</sup>Prometheus Computing Inc

#### December 3, 2012

- All ontologists agree that there are a good and bad ontologies.
- There is no universally accepted method of ontology evaluation.
- Research under the heading varies widely in goals, scope, and methods.
- Goal: Providing some categorization of evaluation efforts.

What is the motivation for the evaluation?

- developers: measure progress & quality during ontology development.
- ontology community: interested in availability of high-quality reference ontology
- 3rd party: looks for an ontology to use for a given purpose.

What is the motivation for the evaluation?

- developers: measure progress & quality during ontology development.
- ontology community: interested in availability of high-quality reference ontology
- 3rd party: looks for an ontology to use for a given purpose.

What are the properties that are evaluated?

- Consistency/coherence
- Accuracy
- Conciseness
- Completeness
- Conformance to standards & best practices
- Clarity
- Adaptability
- Usage
- Intellectual property rights
- Capabilities (in applications)

## Dimensions of Evaluation II

#### What are the properties that are evaluated?

- Consistency/coherence
- Accuracy
- Conciseness
- Completeness
- Conformance to standards & best practices
- Clarity
- Adaptability
- Usage
- Intellectual property rights
- Capabilities (in applications)

What aspect is evaluated?

- Vocabulary
- Syntax
- Semantics
- Context

Who is doing the evaluation?

- human
- computer-aided
- automatic

What method of evaluation is used? (laundry list)

- Analysis using standards and best practices
- Comparison to "gold standard" entity (e.g., other ontology, Wordnet)
- Use of automatic theorem proving
- Population with data set
- Scenario-based evaluation
- Analysis of change of ontology over time
- Observation of users using ontology

## Approach 1: Competency Question Driven Testing



# Example: Searching of geneological data

Scenario Adam is a parent of Bill. Eve is a parent of Bill. Bill is a parent of Katherine. Adam is male. Eve is female Bill is male.

Adam was born in London.

**Competency Questions** 

- Who are the parents of Bill?
- Who are the grandparents of Katherine?
- Who are the grandfathers of Katherine?
- Is a grandfather of Katherine born in the United Kingdoms?

- Motivation: ontology developers measure progress
- Property: completeness
- Aspects: vocabulary, semantics
- Who: automatic
- Methodologies: test-based, automatic theorem proving

- Motivation: community needs high-quality reference ontologies
- Properties: accuracy, conciseness, conformance to best practices, clarity
- Aspects: all (vocabulary, syntax, semantics, contexts)
- Who: human
- Methodology: analysis using best practices

# Approach 3: Evolutionary Terminology Auditing (Werner Ceusters)

- Motivation: ontology developers measure progress
- Properties: accuracy, conciseness, completeness
- Aspects: vocabulary, semantics
- Who: computer-aided
- Methodology: analysis of change of ontologies, "gold-standard"

- Ontology Summit 2013: will focus on ontology evaluation and methodology
- Series of virtual events, starting January 17th
- Face to face: meeting in April

### [1, 4, 3, 2]

J. Brank, M. Grobelnik, and D. Mladenić.

A survey of ontology evaluation techniques. 2005.



W. Ceusters.

Applying evolutionary terminology auditing to the gene ontology.

Journal of biomedical informatics, 42(3):518–529, 2009.

 M. Gruninger, M.S. Fox, et al.
Methodology for the design and evaluation of ontologies.
In Proceedings of the Workshop on Basic Ontological Issues in Knowledge Sharing, IJCAI, volume 95, 1995.

## D. Vrandečić.

#### Ontology evaluation.

pages 293-313. Springer, 2009.